

reconsideration are not to be used to reargue or relitigate matters already decided.” *Haymond v. Lundy*, 205 F.Supp.2d 390, 395 (E.D. Pa. 2002).

In his motion for reconsideration, Mr. Delach argues that the Union’s breach of fair duty of representation arose from its failure to file a grievance based upon the employer’s lack of notice to the Union for Mr. Delach’s layoff. Mr. Delach’s arguments amount to a rehash of his prior arguments, and the Court fully addressed the same in its interpretation and analysis of the CBA and the arguments of the parties. Mr. Delach has otherwise not set forth a basis to grant his reconsideration based upon the enumerated reasons cited above.

Accordingly, Mr. Delach’s Motion for Reconsideration is denied.

DATED this 20th day of May, 2024.

BY THE COURT:



MARILYN J. HORAN
United States District Judge